# **Slouching Towards** Utopia


- An Economic History of the Long 20<sup>th</sup> Century, 1870-2010
- by J. Bradford DeLong

- <<u>https://bit.ly/3pP3Krk</u>>
- Forthcoming September 6 from Basic/Hachette

#### The Economic Pie



#### Aristotle & Ancient Greek Robots







			echi	no	Ogy	
Date	Technological Ideas-Stock Growth Rate h	Technological Ideas Stock Level H (1870 = 1)	Average Annual Real Income per Capita y	Total Human Population P (millions)	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	• Tec
-48000	0.002%	0.011	\$1,200	0.2	\$0.24	• H
-8000	0.003%	0.036	\$1,200	2	\$2.4	
-6000	0.009%	0.043	\$900	5	\$4.5	• <i>H</i>
-3000	0.018%	0.074	\$900	15	\$14	•
-1000	0.030%	0.136	\$900	50	\$45	• Wh
150	0.060%	0.272	\$900	200	\$180	
800	0.014%	0.297	\$900	240	\$216	• What
1500	0.052%	0.429	\$900	500	\$450	
1770	0.149%	0.643	\$1,100	750	\$825	
1870	0.442%	1.000	\$1,300	1300	\$1,690	
2010	2.159%	20.557	\$11,600	6900	\$80,040	

- chnology:
- $H = y\sqrt{P}$
- $H_{1870} = 1$
- iy the  $\sqrt{?}$ ?
- nat alternatives?




#### **Persistent Steampunk Counterfactual World?**





#### John Stuart Mill

Hitherto it is questionable if all the mechanical inventions yet made have lightened the day's toil of any human being. They have enabled a greater population to live the same life of drudgery and imprisonment, and an increased number of manufacturers and others to make fortunes... [and] increased the comforts of the middle classes...



			echi	no	Ogy	
Date	Technological Ideas-Stock Growth Rate h	Technological Ideas Stock Level H (1870 = 1)	Average Annual Real Income per Capita y	Total Human Population P (millions)	Total Real World Income Y (billions)	• Teo
-48000	0.002%	0.011	\$1,200	0.2	\$0.24	• F
-8000	0.003%	0.036	\$1,200	2	\$2.4	
-6000	0.009%	0.043	\$900	5	\$4.5	• F
-3000	0.018%	0.074	\$900	15	\$14	•
-1000	0.030%	0.136	\$900	50	\$45	• Wł
150	0.060%	0.272	\$900	200	\$180	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
800	0.014%	0.297	\$900	240	\$216	• Wł
1500	0.052%	0.429	\$900	500	\$450	
1770	0.149%	0.643	\$1,100	750	\$825	
1870	0.442%	1.000	\$1,300	1300	\$1,690	
<u>2010</u>	2.159%	20.557	\$11,600	6900	\$80,040	

- chnology:
- $H = y\sqrt{P}$
- $H_{1870} = 1$
- in the  $\sqrt{?}$ ?
- nat alternatives?




### Friedrich A. von Hayek

The market giveth; the market taketh away: blessed be the name of the market...



#### Schumpeterian Creative Destruction, Again &





### Where utopia? Where Lambo? When Moon?



#### Schumpeterian Creative Destruction, Again &






#### The market was made for man, not man for the market...











### Social Democracy & Neoliberalism A rough periodization of the long 20<sup>th</sup> century:

- "Economic El Dorado", 1870-1914
- WWI & Failed Reconstruction, 1914-1929
- The Great Depression & WWII, 1929-1945
- 30 Glorious Years, 1945-1975
- The Age of Neoliberalism, 1975-2010
- ???, 2010-?

70-1914 ion, 1914-1929 WII, 1929-1945 975 1975-2010


### **Ethno-Nationalist Fear &** Rage? **Neoliberalism Promised Many Things:** • But, in the Global North, at least, it did not deliver on any of them...

- Save for its promise to make the rich richer...
- So why is it so stubbornly persistent?



### John Maynard Keynes, Again

[That] assumes... a plan exists.... [But] we lack more than usual a coherent scheme of progress, a tangible ideal. All the political parties alike have their origins in past ideas and not in new ideas.... No one has a gospel. The next move is with the head...





### **Other Books | Might Have Written...**

- The Michael Polanyi book.
- The David Landes book.
- The Joseph Schumpeter book.
- The John Maynard Keynes book
- The reacting-to-"Red-Vienna" books:
  - The Karl Polany book.
  - The Friedrich von Hayek book.
  - The Peter Drucker book.
  - The Karl Popper book.

### Kindleberger : Minsky :: DeLong : Polanyi

- Minsky was a genius
- Minsky wrote *terribly*
- Polanyi was a genius
- Polanyi writes...
- Kindleberger showed how you could use Minsky's frameworks of analysis to understand stuff...

# What Is Wrong with This Book?

## • In what ways is its pseudo-neo-Polanyiesque Grand Narrative insufficient?